

# Applications Of Bradford's Law Of Scattering And Leimkuhler Model To Neurochemistry Research Output At Global Level

Dr. R. REVATHI<sup>1</sup>, Dr. C. RANGANATHAN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Library and Information Science, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai-625021, Tamil Nadu.

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of library and Information Science, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli - 620 024, Tamil Nadu, India.

---

## Abstracts

The present study based on the applications of Bradford law and Leimkuhler model of Neurochemistry research output at global level. In this study period, scientists have contributed 3232 research publications scattered over 983 journals during 1989-2020. It was seen that the analyses included growth of neurochemistry publications, journal wise distribution of publications, tested the Bradford law of distribution and also verified Leimkuhler model. This study shows that "Journal of Neurochemistry" is the most productive journal. The verification of Bradford law and Leimkuhler model is not fit.

**Keywords:** Scientometrics, Bibliometrics, Bradford law, Leimkuhler model, Neurochemistry, Research Productivity.

## 1. Introduction:

Neurochemistry is the study of chemicals and their reactions in the nervous system. Potentially a tremendously broad field, neurochemistry could encompass nearly all the anatomy and physiology of the central and peripheral nervous systems. Research is the most important intellectual activity in the higher education. Researchers develop new knowledge which ensures development of a subject. The researchers using various resources for their research work in the field of interest. The journals are the main source of information to get the new ideas of research work. The refereed journals are relevant and clearly reflect the conceptual essence of the research being carried out in the discipline. This study is an attempt to assess the Neurochemistry research output trends at global level indexed in the web of science database.

## 2. Objectives:

The present study was undertaken with the following objectives

1. To identify the growth of publications
2. To find the core journals of Neurochemistry research output
3. To verify the applications of Bradford Law of scattering
4. To verify the Leimkuhler model

### 3. Methodology

This study conducted the applications of Bradford law and Leimkuhler model. The data downloaded from web of science database during 1989-2020. Totally 3232 publications were published during the study period. The data has analyzed and classified into Histcite software. Then the bibliographical details are converted to the form of MS-Excel format.

### 4. Analysis and Discussion

#### 4.1 Growth of Research Output and Citation:

In the present study the research output on Neurochemistry publications taken as a tool to evaluate the performance at various levels. Table 1 could clearly see that during the period 1989-2020 a total of 3232 publications were published at global level. The highest publication is 161 with 1122 global citation score in the year of 2019 followed by 155 publications 1763 citations in 2018. The lowest publication is 19 with 367 Global Citation Scores. It shows that even minimum numbers of publications were scored higher global citations.

**Table 1: Year wise distribution of publications**

S.No	Publication Year	Publications	%	TLCS	TGCS
1	1989	19	0.6	18	367
2	1990	31	1	19	942
3	1991	61	1.9	57	3490
4	1992	71	2.2	63	2133
5	1993	55	1.7	37	2399
6	1994	61	1.9	66	2579
7	1995	85	2.6	58	3741
8	1996	83	2.6	59	4091
9	1997	88	2.7	75	3091
10	1998	94	2.9	75	5410
11	1999	75	2.3	59	5687
12	2000	85	2.6	64	4390
13	2001	89	2.8	69	4863
14	2002	81	2.5	62	4603

15	2003	85	2.6	68	5666
16	2004	107	3.3	69	6210
17	2005	98	3	100	6702
18	2006	96	3	56	5044
19	2007	105	3.2	101	6379
20	2008	105	3.2	90	6025
21	2009	112	3.5	86	4307
22	2010	124	3.8	76	5851
23	2011	106	3.3	79	5868
24	2012	130	4	53	5388
25	2013	159	4.9	97	5667
26	2014	138	4.3	69	3582
27	2015	147	4.5	65	3758
28	2016	149	4.6	65	3878
29	2017	133	4.1	30	2243
30	2018	155	4.8	28	1763
31	2019	161	5	16	1122
32	2020	144	4.5	5	376
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3232</b>			127615

#### 4.2 Journal wise distribution of publications:

In accordance with this, the author has ranked according to the highest contribution of publications in the field of Neurochemistry. It is identify the table 2 the out of 983 journals, “ Journal of Neurochemistry’ has published 142 publications with 2918 Global citations, followed by Brain Research has 56 publications with 1991 Global citations and Journal of Comparative Neurology and Neuroscience have 53 publications with 2037 and 2361 global citations.

**Table 2: Journal wise distribution of Neurochemistry research publications**

S.No	Journal	Publication	TLCS	TGCS
1	Journal of Neurochemistry	142	76	2918
2	Brain Research	56	44	1991
3	Journal of Comparative Neurology	53	51	2037
4	Neuroscience	53	27	2361
5	Neurochemical Research	51	13	844
6	Neuropsychopharmacology	51	33	3307
7	Psychopharmacology	48	71	3135
8	Behavioural Brain Research	46	45	2022

9	Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science	41	8	193
10	Pharmacology Biochemistry And Behavior	41	61	1629
11	Biological Psychiatry	39	56	2813
12	Neurochemistry International	31	6	752
13	Physiology & Behavior	30	10	1165
14	Alcoholism-Clinical and Experimental Research	27	27	953
15	Journal of Neuroscience	27	37	2412
16	Neuropharmacology	23	4	858
17	Angewandte Chemie-International Edition	22	17	1028
18	European Neuropsychopharmacology	22	3	277
19	Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews	22	21	2421
20	Neuroscience Letters	22	12	904
21	European Journal of Pharmacology	21	12	913
22	Neurotoxicology and Teratology	21	19	753
23	Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry	20	17	416
24	ACS Chemical Neuroscience	19	6	321
25	Brain Research Bulletin	18	9	437
26	Psychiatry Research-Neuroimaging	18	28	467
27	Developmental Brain Research	17	9	730
28	European Journal of Neuroscience	17	6	615
29	Journal of Psychopharmacology	17	10	588
30	Plos One	17	0	201

### 4.3 Bradford's law of distribution

Table 3 indicates the first 36 journals covered more than one third of the total articles published. Next 228 journals covered next one third of the published articles. The last 719 journals are covered the last one third of the articles. It is evidence from the above ratio that the number of journals in each zone is not increasing geometrically. According to Bradford's distribution of the relationship between the zones is  $1:a:a^2$  while the relationship in each zone between the present study is 36:228:719 which does not fit into Bradford distribution.

**Table 3 shows Bradford law of distribution**

S. No	No. of journals	No. of contribution	Total Number of contribution	Cumulative Total
1	1	142	142	142
2	1	56	56	198

3	2	53	106	304
4	2	51	102	406
5	1	48	48	454
6	1	46	46	500
7	2	41	82	582
8	1	39	39	621
9	1	31	31	652
10	1	30	30	682
11	2	27	54	736
12	1	23	23	759
13	4	22	88	847
14	2	21	42	889
15	1	20	20	909
16	1	19	19	928
17	2	18	36	964
18	4	17	68	1032
19	2	16	32	1064
<b>20</b>	<b>4 (36)</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>1124 (1077.33)</b>
21	3	14	42	1166
22	6	13	78	1244
23	3	12	36	1280
24	4	11	44	1324
25	7	10	70	1394
26	10	9	90	1484
27	16	8	128	1612
28	10	7	70	1682
29	27	6	162	1844
30	25	5	125	1969
31	39	4	156	2125
<b>32</b>	<b>78(228)</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>2359 (2154.66)</b>
33	154	2	308	2667
34	565(719)	1	565	3232
<b>Total</b>	<b>983</b>		<b>3232</b>	

#### 4.4 Bradford's Distribution of Journals in various zone analysis

Table 4 shows that the observation of small groups of 36 journals identified to the core zone representing 3.66% of journals covered 1124(34.68%) publications. The second larger group of 228 (23.19%) journals which is covered 1235 (38.21) publications and the third largest group zone

have 719 (73.14%) journals contribute 873 (27.01%) publications. The multiplier factor between zone 1 and zone 2 is 6.33 while it is 3.15 between zone 2 and zone 3. The average value is 4.74.

**Table 4 Bradford’s Distribution of Journals in various zone analysis**

Zone	No. of Journals	No. of Publications	Multiplier factor
Z1	36 (3.66)	1124(34.68)	
Z2	228 (23.19)	1235(38.21)	6.33
Z3	719 (73.14)	873(27.01)	3.15
<b>Total</b>	<b>983 (100)</b>	<b>3232 (100)</b>	<b>4.74</b>

#### 4.5 Applications of Leimkuhler Model

According to this law there are small numbers of a journal which produce maximum number of publications constitute of core journals.

A= 3232 (Total number of articles)

$y_m = 142$  (number of items in most productive source)

T= 983 (Total Number of journals)

p = 3 (Number of zones in which the data has to be divided)

$y_0 = A/p = 3232/3 = 1077.33 = 1077$  (approx.)

$k = (1.781 \times 3232)^{1/3} = (5756.19)^{1/3} = 17.92 = 18$  (approx)

$r_0 = T(k-1)/(k^p-1) = 983(18-1)/(18^3-1) = 16711/5833 = 2.86$

$a = y_0/\log k = 1077/\log 17.92 = 1077/1.25 = 861.6$

$b = k-1/r_0 = 17.92-1/2.86 = 5.92$

$r_1 = 2.86 \times 17.92 = 51.25$

$r_2 = 2.86 \times (17.92)^2 = 918.42$

Hence, 2.86: 2.86\*17.92: 2.86\*(17.92)<sup>2</sup> = 1064.995

Percentage of error = 1064.995- 983

$$\frac{\text{-----}}{983} \times 100 = 8.34\%$$

Hence, it can be found that the above calculation that the percentage of error is significant and the percentage of error are little bit high. So the Leimkuhler model is not fit for present data.

## 5. Major Findings

1. The finding of the growth of publications of Neurochemistry research output brings out the highest publications was published in the year of 2019, 2018, 2016 and rest of the others. The study also reveals all these 3232 publications have 127615 cited references and it shows that a healthy trend in citing references is found among the scientists who belong to Neurochemistry.
2. The findings of the most productive journal is out of 983 journals, “Journal of Neurochemistry’ has published 142 publications with 2918 Global citations, followed by Brain Research has 56 publications with 1991 Global citations.
3. The findings of the first the first 36 journals covered more than one third of the total articles published. Next 228 journals covered next one third of the published articles. The last 719 journals are covered the last one third of the articles. According to Bradford’s distribution the relationship between the zones is  $1: a: a^2$  while the relationship in each zone between the present study is 36:228:719 which does not fit Bradford’s distribution.
4. It can be found that the Leimkuhler model is not fit for present data .

## References:

1. **Bidyarthi Dutta. (2015).** Galileo as viewed through scientometric looking glass. Journal of Scientometric Research, 4(2), 85-95.
2. **Gracio, M.C. et al (2013).** Dentistry scientometric analysis: A comparative study between Brazil and other most productive countries in the area. Scientometrics, 95(2), 753-769.
3. **Amsaveni, N (2016).** Assessment of Bradford’s law of scattering to neural network literature through bibliometric study. International Journal of Next Generation Library and Technologies. 2(3), 1-15.
4. **Chaturbhuj, S.B. & Sadik Batcha, M (2020).** Application of Bradford’s law of scattering and leimkuhler model of fluid mechanics literature published during 2001-2019. Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal). 1-20.
5. **Gouri, N.G. et al (2017).** Application of Bradford’s law of scattering to the literature of Microbiology in India. Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal). 1-20.

6. **Sathis kumar & Senthilkumar, R (2018).** Applications of Bradford's law of scattering on research publication in Astronomy & Astrophysics in India. Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal). 1-20.
7. **Ranganathan, C (2014).** Mapping of Oceanography Research Productivity in India: A Scientometric Analysis. Library Philosophy and Practice (e-Journal). Winter 12-23-2014. Paper 1205. Link: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1205>